



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

BJG
Docket No: 6629-13
16 July 2014

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 15 July 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the report of the Naval Discharge Review Board, dated 28 October 2004, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


You enlisted in the Navy Reserve on 28 January 1989. On 1 October, you were authorized to be in a non-drill status for six months to complete school. On 16 April 1992, you were nominated for an involuntary period of annual training due to unsatisfactory drill participation after accruing six unexcused absences. You were then advised that your command was processing you for administrative separation with an other than honorable (OTH) characterization of service due to

unsatisfactory participation. You waived your procedural right to have your case heard by an administrative discharge board (ADB). On 6 February 1993, you received the OTH characterization of service due to unsatisfactory participation, and were not recommended for reenlistment.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth, counsel's allegation that you were misled into believing that you would receive a general characterization of service, post service good conduct, and current desire to upgrade your discharge. The Board concluded, however, that your discharge should not be changed due to your unsatisfactory participation. The Board was unable to find any evidence in your record to support your allegation. The Board noted that you waived your right to an ADB, your best opportunity for retention or a better characterization of service. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



ROBERT D. ZSALMAN
Acting Executive Director

Enclosure

Copy to:

 Esq.