



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

MTN
Docket No: 8220-14
4 August 2015

Dear

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 28 July 2015. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 30 October 1984. On 30 October 1986, you were convicted by special court-martial of two periods of unauthorized absence and two specifications of failure to report to muster. On 5 November 1986, you were diagnosed as being psychologically dependent on amphetamines and as such recommended for separation.

Subsequently, your commanding officer initiated administrative discharge action by reason of misconduct due to drug abuse. You waived your rights to consult with legal counsel and an administrative discharge board. On 17 November 1986, the commanding officer recommended discharge under other than honorable conditions by reason of misconduct due to drug abuse. The separation authority approved your discharge and you were so discharged under other than honorable conditions on 5 March 1987.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your desire to upgrade your discharge and your assertion that you were discharged for one isolated incident in service. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant relief in your case. Concerning your assertion that you were discharged due to one incident of unauthorized absence, there is no indication in the record that this was the case; you were discharged for multiple periods of unauthorized absence and drug abuse. The Board concluded that the severity of your misconduct outweighed your desire to upgrade your discharge. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in your case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

5 U.S.C. 552(b) (6)

ROBERT J. O'NEILL
Executive Director