



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

DJC
Docket No. NR11914-14
28 Apr 15

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 USC 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 27 April 2015. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. You petitioned the Board for Correction of Naval Records seeking the following: change block 17c (date of entry) to reflect August 1968 vice 15 September 1969. You did not, however, provide any information and/or evidence that would support the Board granting some level of relief. A review of our files revealed the following: DD Form 4 (Enlistment contract - Armed Forces of the United States); date of enlistment/induction, date military obligation incur, date of transfer, date of rate/grade, active duty base date, and pay entry base date all reflect 15 September 1969. Furthermore, NAVMC 118(23) (record of service) reflects 15 September 1969, and NAVMC 118(9) (combat history, expeditions, and awards) National Defense Service Medal was issued effective 15 September 1969. However, armed forces security questioner and "statement of understanding of military obligations assumed by me upon my enlistment in the Marine Corps or marine Corps Reserve" reflect 1 July 1969. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board

reconsider its decision upon submission of new evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in this case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records.

Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. J. O'Neill", written in a cursive style.

ROBERT J. O'NEILL
Executive Director