



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

dw

HD

Docket No: NR12997-14

22 January 2015



Dear Captain 

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

You requested completely removing the fitness report for 4 April to 31 August 2011.

It is noted that the Commandant of the Marine Corps (CMC) has directed modifying the contested report by changing the entry in section A, item 3.c ("Type") from "N" (normal peacetime reporting) to "A" (academic and training duty); and in section I (reporting senior's "Directed and Additional Comments"), removing the first sentence ("Reporting occasion is DC [directed by CMC] due to MRO [Marine reported on]'s failure of a formal school."), entering "and 5a ["Adverse"] in the first "- Directed Comments" (so it will read "SECT [Section] A, Item 3a ["Occasion"] and 5a; FFPB [Field Flight Performance Board]/FSSB [Flight Status Selection Board] which resulted in revocation of flight orders on 30 Aug 11.") and removing the second "- Directed Comments" (SECT A, Item 5a: Report is adverse due to MRO's failure of a formal school.").

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 22 January 2015. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted

of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the report of the Headquarters Marine Corps Performance Evaluation Review Board (PERB), dated 24 November 2014, a copy of which is attached. The Board also considered your letter dated 15 January 2015 with enclosures.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the report of the PERB. Accordingly, your application for relief beyond that effected by CMC has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in this case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "R. J. O'Neill", written in a cursive style.

ROBERT J. O'NEILL
Executive Director

Enclosure