



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

SJN  
Docket No: 4276-14  
20 April 2015

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 15 April 2015. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 10 February 1987. On 12 February 1987, you were diagnosed with peptic ulcer disease that existed prior to your entry into the service. On 19 February 1987, a medical Board recommended that you be separated from the Navy, and you were notified that you were being recommended for separation. After being afforded all of your procedural rights, you received an entry level separation due to erroneous enlistment on 24 February 1987. At that time you were assigned an RE-3E reenlistment code. In this regard, you were assigned the most favorable reentry code based on your circumstances.

The Board, in its review of your record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your short period of service, and desire to upgrade your RE-3E reenlistment code. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these

factors were not sufficient to warrant a change in your reenlistment code given the reason for your discharge. In this regard, an RE-3E reenlistment code is authorized when a Sailor is discharged due to an erroneous entry, and is not recommended for retention. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence ~~is~~ evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in your case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



ROBERT J. O'NEILL  
Executive Director