



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

TAL
Docket No: 4544-14
13 May 2014

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 1552.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 29 April 2015. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 2 November 1992. You served for six months without disciplinary incident, but during the period from 3 May 1993 to 28 January 1994, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) on four occasions. Your offenses were failure to obey a written regulation, unauthorized absence (UA) from your unit, making a false official statement and drunk and disorderly conduct.

Subsequently, you were notified of pending administrative separation by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. After consulting with legal counsel, you elected to

present your case to an administrative discharge board (ADB). The ADB found that you committed misconduct and recommended that you be separated with a general characterization of service. The discharge authority concurred with the ADB and directed separation under honorable conditions by reason of misconduct, and on 12 October 1994, you were so discharged.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your character references, desire to upgrade your discharge and assertion that your date of discharge is incorrect. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant relief in your case because of the seriousness of your of your repeated misconduct. The Board also believed that you were fortunate to receive a general discharge since a discharge under other than honorable conditions is often directed when a Sailor is separated for misconduct. Regarding your assertion, the date of discharge on your Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (Form 214) is correct. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in your case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. J. O'Neill", written in a cursive style.

ROBERT J. O'NEILL
Executive Director