



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

TAL  
Docket No: 5147-14  
22 May 2015

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 15 May 2015. The names and votes of the member of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You reenlisted in the Navy on 9 March 1987 after two years 10 months of prior service. During the period from 7 May 1987 to 25 March 1988, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) on two occasions. Your offenses were unauthorized absence (UA) and failure to go to your appointed place of duty. On 20 June 1988, you were convicted by special court-martial of conspiracy to forge a check, failure to obey a lawful regulation, drinking alcohol while on restriction, destruction of personal property, forgery of personal checks, theft, and breaking restriction.

The sentence imposed was confinement, to pay a fine, reduction in paygrade and a bad conduct discharge (BCD). On 13 June 1989, you received the BCD after appellate review was complete.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your desire to upgrade your discharge and assertion of suffering from post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant relief in your case because of the seriousness of your repeated misconduct. Regarding your assertion of suffering from PTSD, the Board noted that you did not provide a diagnosis and that the severity of your misconduct outweighed the mitigation of your possible diagnosis. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in your case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert J. O'Neill", written in a cursive style.

ROBERT J. O'NEILL  
Executive Director