



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

SJN
Docket No: 576-14
24 February 2015



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 3 February 2015. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.


After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 13 March 1962. The Board found that you received five nonjudicial punishment (NJP's), were convicted by two summary court-martial's (SCM's), and two special court-martial's (SPCMs). On 4 March 1965, you submitted a sworn statement admitting to participating in homosexual acts for money with civilians and while in the brig with another servicemember. Subsequently, administrative discharge action was initiated and it was recommended that you receive an undesirable discharge by reason of unfitness as evidenced by your own admission. After being afforded all of your procedural rights, your case was forwarded to the separation authority, and you received an undesirable discharge on 26 May 1965.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your record of service, post service accomplishments and medical issues, and desire to have your characterization of service change. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given your record of five NJP's, two SCM's, two SPCM convictions, and sworn statement that you engaged in homosexual acts. In this regard, the Board noted that you admitted to participating in homosexual acts under aggravating circumstances that have an adverse impact on morale and discipline. In your case, you engaged in a homosexual acts for financial gain and while in the brig on base with another servicemember, which is sufficient even under current standards to warrant an OTH discharge. Please be advised that under 10 United States Code (U.S.C.) 654 (Repeal), the Board can grant a request to upgrade a discharge based on homosexuality when two conditions are met: (1) the original discharge was based solely on "don't ask don't tell" (DADT) or similar policy in place prior to enactment of DADT and (2) there were no aggravating factors such as misconduct. In your case, the Board found misconduct and aggravating factors. The Board concluded your discharge was proper as issued and no change is warranted. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regrettable that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in your case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



ROBERT J. O'NEILL
Executive Director