



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE RD SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON VA 22204-2490

BAN  
Docket No:NR06075-14  
20 June 2014

[REDACTED]

This is in reply to your application for reconsideration in April 2014 with enclosures, in which you submitted a response to the original unfavorable advisory opinion.

A review of our files reveals that in December 2013, you petitioned this Board seeking a back dated promotion to pay grades E-6/SSGT and E-7/GYSGT in CY 2003 and FY 2008. On 14 April 2014, after careful consideration of your request, the Board found insufficient evidence of an error or injustice that would warrant the relief you sought. On that same day, you were sent a letter stating that your case was denied.

As explained in the Board's letter of 14 April 2014, a case may only be reconsidered upon submission of new and material evidence. New evidence is defined as evidence not previously considered by the Board and not reasonably available to you at the time of your previous application. Evidence is considered to be material if it is likely to have a substantial effect on the outcome of the Board's decision.

On 16 April 2014, our office received your reconsideration request dated 9 April 2014, requesting a reconsideration of your case based on new and material information you provided (a response to the original advisory opinion). Therefore, a three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your reconsideration request on 18 June 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the original advisory opinion furnished by Headquarters Marine Corps

(HQMC) memo 1400/3 MMPR-2 of 26 Feb 2014, a copy of which was provided to you on 13 March 2014, and is now enclosed, and your reconsideration request, which included your response to the original advisory opinion.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In making this determination, the Board still concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Therefore, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Robert D. Zsalmán".

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN  
Acting Executive Director

Enclosure