



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

2012

JSR
Docket No. NR6179-14
22 January 2015

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application dated 10 March 2014, seeking reconsideration of your previous application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552. In your previous case, docket number 4170-13, you requested remedial consideration for promotion to master sergeant from the Fiscal Year (FY) 2008 Master Sergeant Selection Board or the first eligible remedial board. This request was denied on 30 September 2013. You now request remedial consideration for promotion from the FY 2007 through 2012 Master Sergeant Selection Boards.


A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, reconsidered your case on 22 January 2015. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, the Board's file on your prior case, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. The Board also considered the advisory opinion from Headquarters Marine Corps dated 12 November 2014, a copy of which is attached, and copies of your previously removed fitness reports for 1 July 2003 to 26 April 2004, 1 July 2005 to 30 June 2006 and 1 July 2010 to 22 February 2011.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, the Board again

voted to deny relief. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in this case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'R. J. O'Neill', written in a cursive style.

ROBERT J. O'NEILL
Executive Director

Enclosure