



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

JET
Docket No. NR6533-14
2 Sep 14

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your letter dated 12 May 2014 requesting a reconsideration of your previously submitted Application for Correction of Naval Record (DD Form 149), dated 11 December 2012 under docket number NR1916-13. You were advised via our letter dated 24 September 2013 (your case was Boarded 23 September 2013), that your application had been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your letter of 12 May 2014 with attachments, for reconsideration of your application on 2 September 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary materials considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all materials submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CNO Memo 7220 Ser N130D/14U1066 of 13 Aug 14, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In making this determination, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Per OPNAV Policy Decision Memorandum (PDM) 008-13 dated 26 April 2013, "6.b. To be eligible for SDIP, a Sailor must: (5) Have requested and been approved for an SDIP Extension or Curtailment prior to receipt of follow-on Permanent Change of Station (PCS) orders". PDM 008-13 also states "Once orders have been issued, the Sailor is no longer eligible to request SDIP".

You voluntarily accepted and executed the orders to VFA-2 without having been offered the incentive. Under these circumstances the Board found that no relief is warranted. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



ROBERT J. O'NEILL
Executive Director

Enclosure: CNO Memo 7220 Ser N130D/14U1066 of 13 Aug 14