



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

JET
Docket No. NR7118-14
6 Apr 15

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 USC 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 6 April 2015. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by OCNO memo 7220 Ser N130D/14U1467 of 4 November 2014, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In making this determination, the Board concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. In making this determination, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Per OPNAV Policy Decision Memorandum (PDM) 008-13 dated 26 April 2013, the purpose of the Sea Duty Incentive Pay Back-to-Back program is that it incentivizes Sailors to voluntarily extend their sea duty beyond their prescribed sea tour (PST). Your application claims that "I qualify for SDIP-B for orders to VP-26." However, the Board found that your orders to VP-45 had a Projected Rotation Date (PRD) of November 2015. When you received orders to VP-26, leaving VP-45 early, your PRD on VP-26 completed the PRD you had on VP-45. Therefore, the Board found that your orders to VP-26 did not fall within the purview of PDM 008-13 of being back-to-back sea duty. Under these circumstances the Board found that no relief is warranted. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in this case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



ROBERT J. O'NEILL
Executive Director

Enclosure: OCNO memo 7220 Ser N130D/14U1467 of 4 Nov 14