

## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

Docket No: 2727-16

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records

To: Secretary of the Navy

Subi: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO

**USMC** 

Ref:

(a) 10 U.S.C. 1552

(b) MARADMIN 029/10

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 with attachments

(2) Case summary

(3) Administrative Remarks entry dtd 8 Jan 10

- 1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Petitioner, an enlisted member of the Marine Corps, filed enclosure (1) with this Board requesting be placed to his record, the Administrative Remarks (Page 11) dated 8 January 2010.
- 2. The Board, consisting of reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 27 January 2017, and pursuant to its regulations, determined that the partial corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of enclosures (1) through (3), naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.
- 3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice finds as follows:
- a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.
  - b. Enclosure (1) was filed in a timely manner.
- c. Reference (b) was issued to detail revisions and additions to the Marine Corps Tattoo Policy. Furthermore, reference (b) directed that commands insert a photograph(s) of tattoos along with a measurement(s), location(s), and date the tattoo(s) was documented, on the Page 11 of any Marine's Service Record Book (SRB) requiring grandfathering of the new tattoo policy. Commands were required to document the tattoos by 1 June 2010.
- d. On 8 January 2010, Petitioner signed the contained entry in enclosure (3), with the intent to be in compliance with reference (b).

e. Petitioner contended that he made reasonable attempts through his chain of command to submit the required entries to document his tattoos with reference (b) prior to the entry contained in enclosure (3); however, it was never entered into his OMPF.

## CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board concurred with the Petitioner's statement, and believed the Petitioner exhausted all of his administrative remedies prior to 8 January 2010 to document the tattoos and to ensure he was in compliance with reference (b) since the implementation of that policy. In this regard, the Board concluded to warrant the Petitioner's relief by placing the Page 11 entry dated 8 January 2010 in accordance with reference (b). In view of the above, the Board directs the following corrective action.

## RECOMMENDATION:

- a. That the decision serves as documentation that Petitioner is in compliance with all U.S. Marine Corps directives with regard to the following tattoos documented on 8 January 2010: (1) Japanese Dragon Holding Crystal Ball; upper right arm; 12 x 7.5 inches. (2) Ambigram Reading "Devil and Angel"; right forearm; 1.75 x 9.5 inches.
  - b. A copy of this Report of Proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.
- 4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.

Recorder

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulation, Section 723.6(e)) and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.



**Executive Director**