



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]
Docket No. 2942-16

[REDACTED]
JUL 8 - 2016

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 USC 1552. Your case was reconsidered in accordance with new Board for Correction of Naval Records procedures that conform to *Lipsman v. Secretary of the Army*, 335 F. Supp. 2d 48 (D.D.C. 2004). You were previously denied relief by this Board on 26 May 2015.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 18 May 2016. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. However, after careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board determined that while your request does not contain new information not previously considered by the Board, it would hear your case again. However, the Board again concluded that it does not warrant relief. Accordingly, your request has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

The Board carefully considered your contention that there were no approved Regional Security Officer's (RSO) lodging locations that were within your allowable per diem rate. However, Temporary Lodging Allowance (TLA) is not intended to fully reimburse a service member for their expenses, but to partially reimburse. Additionally, there is no authority within the Joint Travel Regulation (JTR) to allow reimbursement over the set per diem rate during that timeframe nor above the allowed 65%.

It is regrettable that the circumstances of your case are such that the Board will not process any additional reviews and this matter is considered a final action. However, if you wish to continue to seek relief you will need to present your concerns to a court of appropriate jurisdiction.

Sincerely,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the Executive Director.

Executive Director