



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]  
Docket No: 3113-16  
APR 18 2017

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records  
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED] USN,  
[REDACTED]

- Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. 1552  
(b) SECDEF Memo of 3 Sep 14 "Supplemental Guidance to Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records Considering Discharge Upgrade Requests by Veterans Claiming PTSD"  
(c) PDUSD Memo of 24 Feb 16 "Consideration of Discharge Upgrade Requests Pursuant to Supplemental Guidance to Military Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records by Veterans Claiming PTSD or TBI"

- Encl: (1) DD Form 149 with attachments  
(2) Case summary  
(3) Post-service PTSD diagnosis dated 30 November 2015  
(4) Subject's naval record (excerpts)  
(5) BUMED memo 5740 Ser M34/16UM36079 dtd 13 Mar 17  
(6) Petitioner rebuttal to BUMED memo dtd 24 Mar 17

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Petitioner, a former enlisted member of the Navy filed enclosure (1) with this Board requesting that his general under honorable conditions characterization of service be changed in light of current guidelines as reflected in reference (b). Enclosures (1) through (6) apply.

2. The Board reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 28 March 2017, and pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, naval records, applicable statutes, regulations, policies, post-service PTSD diagnosis, advisory opinion (AO) provided by Navy Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED) and Petitioner's rebuttal to BUMED's AO.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice finds as follows:

- a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.

b. Although enclosure (1) was not filed in a timely manner, it is in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and review the application on its merits.

c. Petitioner enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active service on 28 March 1974. On 5 September 1975, he received non-judicial punishment (NJP) for four specifications of unauthorized absence (UA) totaling 49 days and missing ship's movement. Subsequently, he was notified of administrative separation processing. After waiving all his procedural rights, his Commanding Officer recommended a general under honorable conditions discharge by reason of unsuitability. The discharge authority approved the recommendation and on 12 September 1975, Petitioner was discharged.

d. Petitioner submitted enclosure (3), dated 30 November 2015, as evidence of a post-service diagnosis of PTSD.

e. On 8 March 2016, Petitioner requested consideration of his case based on an assertion of an undiagnosed and untreated service connected post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Based on current BCNR policy and guidance, the Board requested an advisory opinion from BUMED, the office having cognizance over the subject matter, attached as enclosure (3). The advisory opinion has commented to the effect that the request does not have merit and does not warrant favorable action. See enclosure (5).

#### CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of enclosures (3) and (6), the Board concludes that Petitioner's request warrants relief. Additionally, the Board reviewed his application under the guidance provided in references (b) and (c). Specifically, the Board considered whether his application was the type that was intended to be covered by this policy.

The purpose of the Secretary of Defense memorandum is to ease the process for veterans seeking redress and assist the Boards in reaching fair and consistent results in "these difficult cases." The memorandum describes the difficulty veterans face on "upgrading their discharges based on claims of previously unrecognized" PTSD. The memorandum further explains that since PTSD was not previously recognized as a diagnosis at the time of service for many veterans, and diagnoses were often not made until after service was completed, veterans were constrained in their arguments that PTSD should be considered in mitigation for misconduct committed or were unable to establish a nexus between PTSD and the misconduct underlying their discharge. In this regard, based upon his record of service, to include service in Vietnam and in service diagnosis of immature personality, relief in the form of his characterization of service should be changed to honorable.

The Board notes Petitioner's misconduct and does not condone his actions. However, the Board's decision is based on Petitioner's evidence as reflected in his post-service PTSD diagnosis, and subsequent periods of unauthorized absence as attributed to his assault. The Board was able to reasonably conclude that the PTSD condition existed at the time of his misconduct, and subsequently resulted in his general under honorable conditions discharge.

Subj: REVIEW NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED] USN,  
[REDACTED]

After carefully considering all the evidence, the Board felt that Petitioner's assertion of PTSD should mitigate the misconduct he committed while on active duty since this condition outweighed the severity of the misconduct. With that being determined, the Board concludes that no useful purpose is served by continuing to characterize the Petitioner's service as having been general under honorable conditions, and recharacterization to an honorable discharge is now more appropriate. In view of the foregoing, the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action.

RECOMMENDATION:

a. That Petitioner's naval record be corrected to show that he was issued an honorable discharge on 12 September 1975, vice the general discharge actually issued on that day.

b. That Petitioner be issued a Correction to DD Form 214, Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty.

c. That a copy of this Report of Proceedings be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

d. That, upon request, the Department of Veterans Affairs be informed that Petitioner's application was received by the Board on 7 April 2016.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.

[REDACTED]

Recorder

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulation, Section 723.6(e)) and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

[REDACTED]

Executive director