

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

Docket No: 3918-16
JUN 2 0 2017

Dear

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 18 April 2017. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

You enlisted in the Marine Corps on 6 January 1997. Based on the information currently contained in your records, during the period from 12 September 1997 to 15 May 1998, you received two nonjudicial punishments (NJP) for the following offenses: being absent from your appointed place of duty, failure to obey a lawful order, disobeying a lawful order, and unauthorized absence (UA) for 4 days. You were also convicted by summary court martial (SCM) of 2 specifications of breaking restriction and assault.

Subsequently, you were notified of pending administrative separation action by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. After you waived your procedural rights, your Commanding Officer recommended discharge under other than honorable (OTH) conditions by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. The discharge authority approved this recommendation and directed separation under other than honorable conditions by reason of misconduct. On 31 January 1999, you were discharged.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. The Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your desire to upgrade your

Docket No: 3918-16

discharge. However, the Board concluded that these factors were not sufficient to warrant relief given your misconduct. In this regard, the Board concluded that the seriousness of your repetitive misconduct, which resulted in two NJPs and a SCM, outweighed your desire to upgrade your discharge and supports the Commanding Officer's decision to issue you an OTH discharge. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken at this time. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new and material evidence. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

Executive Director