

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No: 9256-16 AUG 2 2 2017

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records

To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF

USMC,

Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. §1552

- Encl: (1) DD Form 149 with attachments
 - (2) Page 11 counseling dtd 18 May 16
 - (3) MIQ letter of 16 Feb 17
- 1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Petitioner, an enlisted member of the Marine Corps, filed enclosure (1) with this Board requesting removal of an Administrative Remarks (Page 11) counseling entry from his Official Military Personnel File (OMPF). Enclosures (1) through (3) apply.
- 2. The Board, consisting of reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 11 May 2017 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies. In addition, the Board considered enclosure (3).
- 3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice finds as follows:
- a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.
 - b. Enclosure (1) was filed in a timely manner.
- c. On 18 May 2016, Petitioner signed enclosure (2) which stated he would not extend and would accept the RE-3O which would be uploaded to his OMPF. Subsequently, on 7 July 2016, Petitioner extended his enlistment 30 months for obligated service for assignment to the Basic Recruiter's Course (BRC) and submitted a reenlistment package.
- d. Enclosure (3), an advisory opinion by Headquarter Marine Corps states, in part, that Petitioner's Page 11 should remain in his electronic service record even though "Petitioner

eventually decided to extend or reenlist in order to execute his orders" because "the entry was justified at the time of the counseling."

CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board concludes that Petitioner's request warrants favorable action.

The Board believes that, although it was not an error at the time of the counseling, it is an injustice for the Page 11 counseling to remain in Petitioner's record after he requested, and was approved, for an enlistment extension of 30 months in order to gain obligated service for orders to the BRC.

In view of the foregoing, the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action.

RECOMMENDATION:

Petitioner's naval record be corrected by removing the Page 11 counseling entry dated 18 May 2016, from his OMPF.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.



Recorder

5. The foregoing action of the Board is submitted for your review and action.



Reviewed and Approved / Disapproved

