

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

Docket No: 92-21

97-21 Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records

To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF USAN, USAN,

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552

(b) Navy Physical Readiness Program Guide 1

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments (Docket NR20210000092)

- (2) DD Form 149 w/attachments (Docket NR20210000097)
- (3) Administrative Remarks (Page 13) entry
- (4) NSIPS/ESR Transaction verified on 9 Mar 14
- (5) CG, later 1070 GNPO of 18 Aug 16
- (6) OPNAV N170 memo of 4 Feb 21
- 1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosures (1) and (2) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting his naval record be corrected by removing an Administrative Remarks (Page 13) entry and by correcting his Physical Readiness Information Management System (PRIMS) record by including his Cycle 1-2016 physical fitness assessment (PFA) results.
- 2. The Board, consisting of \_\_\_\_\_\_, and \_\_\_\_\_, and \_\_\_\_\_, reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 1 October 2021 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.
- 3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, found as follows:
- a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulation within the Department of the Navy. Although enclosures (1) and (2) were not filed in a timely manner, it is in the interest of justice to review the applications on their merits.
- b. Petitioner contends enclosure (3), his 10 July 2013 Administrative Remarks (Page 13) counseling entry regarding Body Composition Assessment (BCA) failure is in error. Additionally, enclosure (4) reflects an erroneous NSIPS/ESR entry reflecting a Physical Fitness Assessment Failure. After multiple attempts by Petitioner to correct the entry, Petitioner's

, USNR,

command submitted enclosure (5), requesting enclosures (3) and (4) be removed from Petitioner's record because the information is incorrect. Per the command's letter, Petitioner passed the BCA and participated in the Physical Readiness Test (PRT). Additionally, the Page 13 entry is an incomplete document lacking any of the required BCA or PRT entries and, most importantly, is not signed by the Commanding Officer or acknowledged by the Petitioner.

- c. Petitioner also contends he successfully completed the Cycle 1-2016 BCA and PRT on 17 April 2016 with his reserve unit before being activated on 24 April 2016, but his unit Command Fitness Leader (CFL) erred by not entering his official PRT scores into PRIMS for Cycle 1-2016. Petitioner submitted the official PRT score sheet but does not have the official BCA form and has been unable to obtain it from or his reserve unit. He contends reference (b) requires BCA and PRT records be maintained for five years.
- d. In an advisory opinion (AO) at enclosure (6), OPNAV N170 recommends Petitioner's record in PRIMS for Cycle 1-2016 be updated to reflect "excused" due to mismanagement of the program by Petitioner's reserve unit.

## **CONCLUSION**

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board determined Petitioner's request warrants partial relief. The Board, relying on enclosure (5) and noting the incomplete Page 13 entry, concluded enclosure (4) and the corresponding Page 13 entry at enclosure (3) were in error and require removal. Further, relying on the AO, the Board concluded it was an error for Petitioner's PRIMS record to reflect a missed BCA and PRT for Cycle 1-2016 due to the mismanagement of the program by the unit CFL. However, noting the lack of a BCA for Cycle 1-2016, the Board determined it could not "correct the missing 2016 Cycle 1 PRT scores" as requested by Petitioner so it concluded the most appropriate relief would be to mark the cycle as "excused" as recommended by the AO.

## RECOMMENDATION

In view of the above, the Board directs the following corrective action.

Petitioner's naval record be corrected by removing enclosure (4), the verified NSIPS/ESR entry reflecting a Physical Fitness Assessment Failure, and enclosure (3), the associated 10 July 2013 Page 13 counseling entry.

Petitioner's naval record be corrected by modifying his PRIMS record to reflect he was excused from the Cycle 1-2016 PFA.

Any material or entries inconsistent with or relating to the Board's recommendation be corrected, removed, or completely expunged from Petitioner's record, and that no such entries or material be added to the record in the future. This includes, but is not limited to, all information systems or database entries that reference or discuss the expunged material.

- 4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
- 5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

