



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

█
Docket No: 426-21
Ref: Signature Date



Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although you did not file your application in a timely manner, the statute of limitation was waived in accordance with the 25 August 2017 guidance from the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (Kurta Memo). A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 7 July 2021. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the Kurta Memo, the 3 September 2014 guidance from the Secretary of Defense regarding discharge upgrade requests by Veterans claiming post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Hagel Memo), and the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

The Board determined that your personal appearance, with or without counsel, would not materially add to their understanding of the issues involved. Therefore, the Board determined that a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

You enlisted in the Navy on 30 May 2001. On 11 and 17 December 2001, you received non-judicial punishment (NJP). The offenses included, failure to go at time prescribed to appointed place of duty, unauthorized absence (UA), and being incapacitated for the performance of duty. On 26 December 2001, you tested positive for cocaine. Subsequently, you were notified of pending administrative separation action by reason of misconduct due to drug abuse. After electing to waive your rights, your commanding officer (CO) forwarded your package to the separation authority (SA) recommending your discharge by reason of misconduct due to drug

abuse, with and other than honorable (OTH) characterization of service. The SA approved the CO's recommendation, and on 22 February 2002, you were so discharged.


The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your desire to upgrade your discharge and contention of suffering from Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) as a reason for your misconduct. The Board also noted your contentions that you started drinking and self-medicating to deal with PTSD and you were denied the opportunity to speak with your CO about your problem. However, there is no evidence in your record, and you submitted none, to support your contentions. In addition, you were provided an additional 60 days to submit documentation to support your claim of PTSD, however you failed to do so.

Based upon this review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Even under the liberal consideration standard, the Board found that the seriousness of your misconduct, as evidenced by your two NJPs and violation of the Navy's drug policy, outweighed these mitigating factors. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

7/15/2021

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Executive Director

Signed by:

A black rectangular redaction box covering the name of the Executive Director.