




retention and retirement benefits, and had you been represented by counsel, provided an opportunity to review charges against you, you would have understood the gravity of the situation and challenged these administrative actions.

The Board, however, substantially concurred with the AO that, given the presumption of regularity, and the absence of substantial evidence to the contrary, your relief for cause for your documented misconduct and deficiencies while on recruiting duty was warranted. The Board concluded that your petition did not demonstrate probable material error, substantive inaccuracy, or injustice warranting overturning your relief for cause or editing verbiage in the letter. The Board did, however, take into consideration your otherwise seventeen years of honorable service, and your implicit desire to reenlist for one more term in order to retire honorably, and recommended you petition for relief specific to your ability to reenlist, should you so desire.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

12/5/2021

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Deputy Director

Signed by:

A black rectangular redaction box covering the name of the Deputy Director.