

The Board, however, substantially concurred with the AOs dated 2 February 2021 and the PERB Decisions of 16 March 2021 and 7 April 2021 and determined the reports are valid as written. The Board noted the reports are not adverse and do not include any derogatory or negative marks or comments. The Board further noted your petition omits any suggestion of specific irregularity with the RS or Reviewing Officer (RO) evaluations with the noted exception of the cumulative relative value. The Board also noted the reports had completely different reporting officials. The report ending 6 June 2019 was written by the reporting chain against whom you submitted the IG complaint whereas the report ending 30 June 2020 had a completely different reporting chain and your attempt to transpose complaints against the previous reporting chain onto the subsequent reporting chain lacked compelling evidence. The Board concluded your requests to remove fitness reports are lacking in substantial evidence of error or injustice.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

11/19/2021

[Redacted Signature]

[Redacted Name]

Executive Director
Signed by: [Redacted Name]