



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

██████████  
Docket No: 2387-21  
Docket No: 2388-21  
Docket No: 2390-21  
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records  
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF ██████████, USN, XXX-XX-██████████

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552  
(b) BUPERSINST 1610.10D (EVALMAN)

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments (Docket No. 2387-21)  
(2) DD Form 149 w/attachments (Docket No. 2388-21)  
(3) DD Form 149 w/attachments (Docket No. 2390-21)  
(4) Evaluation Report and Counseling Record 16 Mar 18 to 12 Jul 18  
(5) Evaluation Report and Counseling Record 13 Jul 18 to 15 Mar 19  
(6) Evaluation Report and Counseling Record 16 Mar 19 to 15 Nov 19  
(7) NPC memo 1610 PERS-32 of 20 Apr 21 (Docket No. 2387-21)  
(8) NPC memo 1610 PERS-32 of 20 Apr 21 (Docket No. 2388-21)  
(9) NPC memo 1610 PERS-32 of 20 Apr 21 (Docket No. 2390-21)

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosures (1) through (3) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his record be corrected by revising three Evaluation Report and Counseling Records (Evals) to accurately reflect awards he received during each reporting period.
2. The Board reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 18 January 2022 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.
3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds as follows:
  - a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.
  - b. Petitioner requests revisions to block 44 of the Eval for the reporting period 16 March 2018 to 12 July 2018. He contends that he was awarded the Military Outstanding Volunteer Service Medal (MOVSM) during this reporting period, but it was erroneously omitted from the Eval.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED], USN, XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

Next, Petitioner requests revisions to block 44 of the Eval for the reporting period 13 July 2018 to 15 March 2019. He contends that his MOVSM, awarded during the preceding reporting period is erroneously documented in this Eval, and that his Navy and Marine Corps Achievement Medal (NMCAM) was erroneously omitted from this Eval. Lastly, Petitioner requests revisions to block 44 of his Eval for the reporting period 16 March 2019 to 15 November 2019. He contends that his MOVSM, his NMCAM, and Sea Service Deployment Ribbon (SSDR), all awarded during preceding reporting periods, were erroneously documented in this Eval. See enclosures (4) through (6).

c. Enclosures (7) through (9), advisory opinions furnished by Navy Personnel Command (PERS-32) recommending Petitioner's requests be denied. PERS-32 noted that the corrections requested to block 44 of the Evals are a supplemental change and require a Letter-Supplement from each respective original reporting senior. PERS-32 recommended Petitioner contact each original reporting senior to request a Letter-Supplement to correct the discrepancy and then submit the supplemental letters to PERS-32 for inclusion in Petitioner's official military personnel file. It is ultimately the reporting seniors' responsibility to determine the accuracy of the evaluation report they issued, and it is their decision to submit supplementary letters to correct any discrepancies.

d. Petitioner contends that he was unable to reach the original reporting seniors, therefore, he was unable to request a Letter-Supplement from any of them. He also asserts that two of the RSs have retired, and that he does not know the status of the third.

## CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board determined that Petitioner's request warrants relief. In this regard, the Board noted that Petitioner sufficient evidence that his three contested Evals do not accurately reflect awards record. Furthermore, the Board noted that the Petitioner attempted to contact the original RS for each contested Eval, to no avail. The Board thus concluded that Petitioner's Evals shall be modified to correct the aforementioned errors.

## RECOMMENDATION

In view of the above, the Board recommends the following corrective action:

Petitioner's naval record be corrected by adding "MOVSM" to block 44 of the 16 March 2018 to 12 July 2018 Eval at enclosure (4).

Petitioner's naval record be corrected by removing "MOVSM-1" and adding "NMCAM-1" to block 44 of the 13 July 2018 to 15 March 2019 Eval at enclosure (5).

Petitioner's naval record be corrected by removing "MOVSM," "NMCAM," and "SSDR" from block 44 of the 16 March 2019 to 15 November 2019 Eval at enclosure (6).

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED], USN, XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

5. The foregoing action of the Board is submitted for your review and action.

2/18/2022

[REDACTED]

Executive Director

From: Assistant General Counsel (Manpower and Reserve Affairs)

Reviewed and Approved Board Recommendation (Grant Relief)

Reviewed and Approved Advisory Opinions' Recommendations (Deny Relief)

3/1/2022

[REDACTED]

Assistant General Counsel (M&RA)

Signed by: [REDACTED]