




The Board, however, substantially concurred with the AO that, given the presumption of regularity, your petition amounts to a difference of opinion regarding the issuing official's decision to give you a 6105 counseling. This difference in opinion is not enough to overcome the objective evidence of your actions of creating a hostile work environment by sexually harassing a junior Marine and its connection to the issuing official's decision to give you the 6105 counseling. The Board took into consideration your statements in rebuttal of both the 6105 counseling entry and AO; however, concluded that your petition did not demonstrate probable material error, substantive inaccuracy, or injustice warranting removal of the contested Page 11 from your OMPF.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.


Sincerely,

11/8/2021

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Deputy Director

Signed by:

A black rectangular redaction box covering the name of the Deputy Director.