



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

██████████
Docket No. 3290-21
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO ██████████,
USN, XXX-XX-██████████

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552
(b) MILPERSMAN 7220-300 of 22 Aug 02

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments
(2) OCNO memo 7431 Ser N130C2/21U1008 of 20 Jul 21
(3) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that her naval record be corrected to establish the Advance Pay Certification/Authorization (DD Form 2560) approved on 6 February 2020 be approved, and the DD Form 2560 dated 9 June 2020 is null and void.

2. The Board, consisting of ██████████, ██████████, and ██████████ reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 13 January 2022 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice finds as follows:

a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.

b. In accordance with reference (b), advance pay is intended to enable a member to have sufficient money in hand to execute a move without visiting disbursing officers in route or submitting claims at the member's new duty station until the member has settled in the new residence and reported for duty.

Advance Pay Amount and Liquidation Period Limits: The member may request advance pay up to 3 months and liquidation up to 24 months.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED],
USN, XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

c. On 15 October 2019, Petitioner was issued official change duty orders (BUPERS order: [REDACTED]), while stationed in [REDACTED] with an effective date of departure of May 2020. Petitioner's ultimate activity was [REDACTED] for duty with an effective date of arrival of May 2020.

d. On 9 January 2020, Petitioner was issued official modification to change duty orders (BUPERS order: [REDACTED]), while stationed in [REDACTED] with an effective date of departure of June 2020. Petitioner's ultimate activity was [REDACTED] for duty with an effective date of arrival of July 2020.

e. On 5 February 2020, Petitioner signed an DD Form 2560 requesting \$17,000 with liquidation over 24 months and payment within 30 days of Permanent Change of Station (PCS) or 60 days after reporting at Permanent Duty Station (PDS). Request was approved by cognizant authority on 6 February 2020.

f. On 1 June 2020, Petitioner signed an DD Form 2560 requesting \$9,400 with liquidation over 24 months and payment within 30 days of PCS or 60 days after reporting at PDS. Request was approved by cognizant authority on 9 June 2020.

g. On 30 June 2020, Petitioner transferred from [REDACTED]

h. On 13 July 2020, Petitioner was issued official modification (2) to change duty orders (BUPERS order: [REDACTED]), while stationed in [REDACTED] with an effective date of departure of June 2020. Petitioner's ultimate activity was [REDACTED] for duty with an effective date of arrival of July 2020.

i. On 31 July 2020, Petitioner arrived to [REDACTED] for duty.

j. In correspondence attached as enclosure (2), the office having cognizance over the subject matter addressed in Petitioner's application has commented to the effect that the request has merit and warrants favorable action.

CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. The Board concluded that Petitioner submitted the original DD Form 2560 on 5 February 2020. A second DD Form 2560 was created, causing Petitioner to receive an incorrect amount of advanced pay.

RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner's signed DD Form 2560 dated 1 June 2020, requesting \$9,400 is null and void.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED],
USN, XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

Petitioner signed a DD Form 2560 dated 5 February 2020, for \$17,000 with liquidation over 24 months and payment within 30 days of PCS or 60 days after reporting at PDS. Request was approved by cognizant authority on 6 February 2020.

Note: Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) will complete an audit of Petitioner's records to determine if Petitioner is due any back pay and allowances.

A copy of this Report of Proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

2/2/2022

[REDACTED]

Deputy Director

Signed by: [REDACTED]