



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

██████████
Docket No. 5075-21

██
██
██

Dear ██████████:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 20 January 2022. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion contained in Community Management Support Branch memorandum 1160 Ser B328/111 of 22 October 2021; a copy of which was previously provided to you for comment.

The Board determined that your personal appearance, with or without counsel, would not materially add to their understanding of the issues involved. Therefore, the Board determined that a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

On 20 November 2015, you reenlisted for 6 years with an End of Active Obligated Service (EAOS) of 19 November 2021. On 24 February 2017, you were issued official change duty orders (BUPERS order: 0557) while stationed in ██████████ with an effective date of departure of April 2017. Your ultimate activity was ██████████ for duty with an effective date of arrival of 31 May 2017. On 2 May 2017, you signed an agreement to extend for 1 month with a Soft End of Active Obligated Service (SEAOS) SEAOS of 19 December 2021 in order to incur sufficient obligated service to execute BUPERS order 0557 dated 24 February 2017. On 23 May 2017, you transferred, and arrived to ██████████ on 24 May 2017 for duty.

On 2 March 2020, you were issued official change duty orders (BUPERS order: 0620) with a required obligated service to June 2025, while stationed in ██████████ with an effective date

of departure of August 2020. Your ultimate activity was [REDACTED] for duty with an effective date of arrival of 18 November 2020. On 19 May 2020, you signed an agreement to extend for 42 months with a SEAOS of 19 June 2025 in order to incur sufficient obligated service to execute BUPERS order 0620 dated 2 March 2020. On 28 July 2020, you were issued official modification to change duty orders (BUPERS order: 0620) while stationed in [REDACTED] with an effective date of departure of August 2020. Your ultimate activity was [REDACTED] for duty with an effective date of arrival of 18 November 2020. On 21 August 2020, you transferred from [REDACTED]. On 23 November 2020, you arrived to [REDACTED] for duty.

You requested to remove your agreement to extend enlistment and that your previous EAOS of 19 November 2021 be reestablished; the Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, to include your assertions. However, the Board concluded that a review of the documents you provided shows that you executed a 1-month extension to meet the required OBLISERV on 2 May 2017. You executed your orders and reported to [REDACTED] on 25 May 2017. Furthermore, you were in receipt of BUPERS orders that required you to Obligate Service (OBLISERV) out to June 2025. You executed a 42-month extension to meet the required OBLISERV on 19 May 2020. You executed your orders and reported to [REDACTED] on 23 November 2020. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

2/5/2022

[REDACTED]