



reporting chain was modified, you did not provide evidence the RO maliciously or inappropriately assumed the role, or processed an invalid evaluation. The Board also noted your contention the RS's evaluation in this contested report "essentially mimicked" the previous evaluation for the same duty assignment but concluded constant performance can result in constant markings and commentary. Lastly, the Board noted that documented review of a fitness report prior to submission is not required and concluded your contention the RS did not communicate with you prior to routing the report to the RO does not invalidate the report. The Board concluded your request is lacking in substantial evidence of error or injustice warranting removal.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

1/15/2022



Deputy Director

