



16 September 1985, you began a seventh period of UA, which lasted one-day. On 18 September 1985, you began an eight period of UA which lasted eight-days. On 21 January 1986, you were convicted by special court-martial (SPCM) for seven instances of UA, wrongful appropriation of a stereo, and disobeying a lawful order. You were sentenced to confinement, forfeiture of pay, and reduction to the rank of E-1. On 29 January 1986, you were notified of the initiation of administrative separation proceedings by reason of misconduct due to pattern of misconduct and commission of a serious offense, at which point you elected to waive all your procedural rights. On 7 February 1986, your commanding officer recommended an other than honorable (OTH) discharge characterization of service by reason of misconduct. On 17 February 1986, the discharge authority approved and ordered an OTH discharge characterization of service by reason of misconduct due to pattern of misconduct and frequent involvement. On 25 February 1986, you were discharged.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your contentions that you would like your discharge characterization of service to be upgraded to honorable with an RE-1 reenlistment code, and your service member life insurance to be changed to reflect a new beneficiary. Based upon this review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your repeated misconduct, as evidenced by your NJPs and SPCM, outweighed these mitigating factors. The Board noted you did not submit any documentation or advocacy letters to be considered. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

12/20/2021

█

Executive Director

Signed by: █