



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

█  
Docket No: 6786-21  
Ref: Signature Date



Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552. After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

The Board determined that your personal appearance via video or telephonic, with or without counsel, would not materially add to their understanding of the issues involved. Therefore, the Board determined that a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 28 February 2022. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were, reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the Kurta Memo, the 3 September 2014 guidance from the Secretary of Defense regarding discharge upgrade requests by Veterans claiming post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Hagel Memo), and the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo). In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion (AO) furnished by a qualified mental health professional dated 10 December 2021, and you were given 30 days in which to submit a response. When you did not provide a response, your case was submitted to the Board for consideration

You enlisted in the Marine Corps and began a period of active duty on 8 August 1961. During the period from 9 January to 25 February 1963, you received four nonjudicial punishments for two periods of unauthorized absence (UA) totaling six days, being absent from your appointed place of duty, and failure to be at your appointed place of duty. On 29 March 1963, you broke restriction and began a period of active duty. On 28 May 1963, you were convicted by civil

authorities of unlawfully taking vehicle without the consent of the owner. You were charged with grand theft auto and committed to the youth authority. On 6 August 1963, you were notified you were being considered for an undesirable discharge due to your civilian conviction. On 11 September 1963, your case was forwarded to the separation authority. On 11 October 1963, you were discharged from the Marine Corps with an other than honorable characterization of service.

A qualified mental health professional reviewed your request for correction to your record and provided the Board with an AO regarding your assertion that you were suffering from a mental health condition during your service. The AO noted that based on the available evidence, there is insufficient evidence that you incurred an unfitting mental health condition during military service, and there is insufficient evidence that your misconduct could be attributed to an unfitting mental health condition.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to your assertions that: (a) you had just turned 17 years old; (b) you were forced to drink alcohol, an incurred alcohol use disorder after being “forced to drink alcohol on a daily basis” during your military service; and (c) the records of your treatment for alcohol use disorder are no longer available due to the center being closed in 1985. Based upon this review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that misconduct that resulted in four NJP’s and civil conviction for a very serious offense outweighed these mitigating factors. The Board also concurred with the AO that based on the available evidence, there is insufficient evidence that you incurred an unfitting mental health condition during military service, and there is insufficient evidence that your misconduct could be attributed to an unfitting mental health condition. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

3/3/2022

█