



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

█
Docket No: 7227-21

Ref: Signature Date

█
█
█

Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 22 December 2021. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 28 April 1999. On 20 September 1999, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for failure to obey a lawful order. On the same date, you were counseled for underage drinking as evidence of your NJP. You were advised that failure to take corrective action could result in administrative separation. On 25 October 1999, you arrived at the Addiction Treatment Facility Department and registered a .04 BAC. You were referred to your command for a fitness for duty. On 26 October 1999, you received a second NJP for two instances of unauthorized absence, two instances of underage drinking, and incapacitation of the performance of your duties. On the same date, you were notified of the initiation of administrative separation proceedings by reasons of misconduct due to pattern of misconduct, commission of a serious offense and alcohol rehabilitation failure, at which point, you elected to waive all your procedural rights. On 9 November 1999, your commanding officer recommended an other than honorable (OTH) discharge characterization of service by reasons of misconduct due to pattern of misconduct, commission of a serious offense, and alcohol rehabilitation failure.

On 12 November 1999, the discharge authority approved and ordered an OTH discharge characterization of service by reason of misconduct due to pattern of misconduct. On 19 November 1999, you were discharged.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your contention that you were not offered rehabilitation for alcohol abuse. Based upon this review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your repeated misconduct, as evidenced by your NJPs, outweighed these mitigating factors. The Board noted you did not submit any documentation or advocacy letters to be considered. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

1/14/2022

█

Executive Director

Signed by: █