

your rights and warned of the probable adverse consequences of accepting such a discharge. As part of this discharge request, you admitted your guilt to the foregoing offenses and acknowledged that your characterization of service upon discharge would be other than honorable (OTH). Your request was granted, and on 16 March 1979, you were so separated.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your desire to upgrade your discharge character of service. The Board also considered your contentions that: 1) you had no idea of what you were getting into with no counseling; 2) you did not know the category that your discharge fell under; they just wanted to discharge you without any explanation of the reason why; and 3) no one explained your discharge or the kind of discharge you were receiving. The Board noted you did not submit any documentation or advocacy letters in support of your application to be considered for clemency consideration.

Based upon this review, the Board concluded your potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your SPCM conviction and subsequent separation at your request to avoid trial by court-martial, outweighed these mitigating factors. About your contention, the record contains documented evidence, which is contrary to your contention. The Board noted you were provided legal counsel and you acknowledged that you were satisfied with counsel's advice. The Board did not find an error or injustice that warrants upgrading your characterization of service or granting clemency in the form of an upgraded characterization of service. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

1/13/2022

█
Executive Director
█