



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

█
Docket No: 7999-21
Ref: Signature Date

█
█
█
Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 19 January 2022. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

The Board determined that your personal appearance, with or without counsel, would not materially add to their understanding of the issues involved. Therefore, the Board determined that a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

You enlisted in the Navy on 29 December 1980. On 4 August 1981, you received non-judicial punishment (NJP) for three specifications of unauthorized absence (UA) totaling three days, four hours and 30 minutes. On 28 January 1983, you received a second NJP for absence from your appointed place of duty. Subsequently, you were notified of pending administrative separation action by reason of misconduct due to frequent involvement. After you waived your rights, your commanding officer (CO) forwarded your package to the separation authority (SA) recommending your discharge by reason of misconduct due to project upgrade 83, with a general under honorable conditions characterization of service. The SA approved the recommendation, and on 25 March 1983, you were so discharged.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your desire to upgrade your discharge, change your narrative reason for separation, separation authority, separation code, and reenlistment code. The Board also noted your contentions that your discharge should be upgraded in the interest of justice and your discharge prevents you from receiving benefits and interfere with your backgrounds checks making you ineligible for some groups and organizations memberships.

The Board noted that there is no evidence in your record, and you submitted none, to support your contention that your discharge should be upgraded in the interest of justice. The Board also noted whether or not you are eligible for benefits is a matter under the cognizance of the Department of Veteran Affairs (DVA), and you should contact the nearest office of the DVA concerning your right to apply for benefits. Lastly, whether or not you are eligible for membership in any group or organization is a matter under the cognizance of that group or organization and you should contact the nearest office of that group or organization concerning your right to apply for membership.

Based upon this review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your two NJPs, outweighed these mitigating factors. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

1/26/2022

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the Executive Director.

Executive Director

Signed by: 