



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]
Docket No. 509-22
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO FORMER MEMBER [REDACTED]
XXX-XX-[REDACTED] USMCR

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments
(2) HQMC memo 1820 MMSR-5 of 23 Feb 22
(3) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected to show Petitioner's Career Retirement Credit Record reflects 030 active duty points vice 003 active duty points for anniversary year ending 15 May 2000.

2. The Board, consisting of [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 24 March 2022 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds as follows:

a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.

b. On 16 May 1998, Petitioner enlisted in the U.S. Marine Corps Reserve for 8 years with an EOS of 15 May 2006.

c. On 10 June 1998, Petitioner signed a Statement of Understanding upon enlistment in the Marine Corps Reserve Incremental Initial Active Duty for Training. He enlisted in the Marine Corps Reserve for eight years under the Incremental Initial Active Duty for Training Option, which allows two separate periods of training. Petitioner understood that after recruit training he would be released from active duty and ordered back to his parent SMCR unit. Within 1 year after recruit training, he would be ordered to a second increment of initial active duty for training until he completed the training requirements for his military occupational specialty.

d. On 10 June 1998, Petitioner entered active duty. Furthermore, Petitioner was separated due to voluntary release IADT (Initial Active Duty Training) on 5 September 1998.

e. On 1 February 1999, Petitioner was promoted to Lance Corporal/E-3.

f. On 20 June 2000, Petitioner entered active duty

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO FORMER MEMBER [REDACTED]
XXX-XX- [REDACTED] USMCR

g. On 30 June 2000, Petitioner's Annual Retirement Credit Record was produced with an anniversary date of 16 May 2001 and listed a period of active duty from 4 June 1999 to 3 July 1999 for 030 points. However, under summary, active duty points total was 003 vice 030.

h. On 15 July 2000, Petitioner was honorably released from active duty upon completion of required active service.

i. On 30 November 2002, Petitioner's Career Retirement Credit Record was produced with an anniversary date of 15 May 2003 showing that qualifying year ending 15 May 2000 had total inactive duty points of 073, total active duty points 003, and total points credit that year 076. Furthermore, under career totals, total inactive duty points was 246, total active duty points 131, and total points credit that year 377. Petitioner was credited with 4 years of satisfactory service.

j. In correspondence attached as enclosure (2), the office having cognizance over the subject matter addressed in Petitioner's application has commented to the effect that the request has merit and warrants favorable action.

CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. The Board concluded that Petitioner's Annual Retirement Credit Record produced on 30 June 2000 shows Petitioner earned 030 points for the period of 4 June 1999 to 3 July 1999, however, the summary lists total active duty points paid as 003.

RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner's CRCR listed total inactive duty points of 073, total active duty points of 030 vice 003 for a total points credit of 103 vice 076 for anniversary year ending 15 May 2000.

A copy of this Report of Proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

4/25/2022

[REDACTED]

Deputy Director

Signed by: [REDACTED]