



OTH discharge by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. On 28 May 1993, you were so discharged.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to your desire to upgrade your discharge and contentions that you incurred a mental health condition during military service, which contributed to your misconduct, and you served honorably during Operation Desert Storm. For purposes of clemency consideration, the Board noted you did not provide supporting documentation describing post-service accomplishments, or advocacy letters.

As part of the Board's review, a qualified mental health professional reviewed your request and provided the Board with an AO on 15 April 2022. The AO stated in pertinent part:

That there is no evidence that Petitioner was diagnosed with a mental health condition in military service, although there is behavioral evidence of a potential alcohol use disorder that pre-dated his military service. Problematic alcohol use is incompatible with military readiness and discipline and considered amenable to treatment, depending on the individual's willingness to engage in treatment. Throughout his disciplinary processing, there were no concerns raised of another mental health condition that would have warranted a referral for evaluation. Unfortunately, he has provided no medical evidence in support of his claims. His current statements are temporally remote from military service and insufficient to establish a clinical diagnosis. Additional records (e.g., post-service mental health records describing the Petitioner's diagnosis, symptoms, and their specific link to his misconduct) are required to render an alternate opinion.

The AO concluded, "[b]ased on the available evidence, it is my clinical opinion that there is insufficient evidence of a diagnosis of PTSD with Major Depressive Disorder that could be attributed to military service. There is insufficient evidence that his misconduct could be attributed to PTSD or another mental health condition."

Based upon this review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined your misconduct as evidenced by your four NJPs, outweighed the potential mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of your misconduct and concluded that your conduct showed a complete disregard for military authority and regulations. In addition, the Board concurred with the AO that there is insufficient evidence that your misconduct could be attributed to PTSD or another mental health condition. As a result, the Board concluded your conduct constituted a significant departure from that expected of a Sailor and continues to warrant an OTH characterization of service. After applying liberal consideration, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants upgrading your characterization of service or granting clemency in the form of an upgraded characterization of service. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not

previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

7/8/2022

█

Executive Director

Signed by: █