



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

■  
Docket No: 929-22  
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records  
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER ■■■■■■■■■■, USN,  
■■■■■■■■■■

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552  
(b) USECDEF Memo of 25 Jul 2018 (Wilkie memo)

Encl: (1) DD Form 149  
(2) Official Military Personnel File (OMPF)

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected by upgrading his characterization of service to honorable.

2. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered Petitioner's application on 23 February 2022. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Petitioner allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of Petitioner's application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include reference (b).

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, found as follows:

a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulation within the Department of the Navy.

b. Petitioner enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 14 May 1990.

c. During January 1991, Petitioner was evaluated and diagnosed with enuresis [involuntary urination]. Because of his diagnosed medical condition, he was recommended for administrative separation.

d. On 29 January 1991, Petitioner was notified that he was being recommended for administrative separation from the Navy by reason of convenience of the government due to the diagnosed enuresis. Petitioner was advised of his rights and waived his procedural right to

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER [REDACTED], USN,  
[REDACTED]

consult with military counsel. The separation authority subsequently directed Petitioner's administrative discharge from the Navy with a general (under honorable conditions) characterization of service, and Petitioner was discharged on 13 February 1991.

#### BOARD CONCLUSION

The Board, in its review of Petitioner's entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors and determined that Petitioner's request did not warrant relief.

The Board carefully considered Petitioner's case in accordance with reference (b). These included, but were not limited to Petitioner's desire to upgrade his discharge characterization of service. The Board considered Petitioner's contentions that he was told that his characterization of service would eventually become honorable and he was young and going through some issues that caused his medical condition which was embarrassing at the time. The Board also considered Petitioner's contention that his characterization of service is affecting him from becoming a USAA member.

For purposes of clemency consideration, the Board noted Petitioner did not provide supporting documentation describing post-service accomplishments or advocacy letters. Based upon this review, the Board determined there was insufficient evidence to establish an error or injustice that warrants an upgrade to Petitioner's characterization of service.

#### BOARD RECOMMENDATION

In view of the above, the Board recommends no relief.

#### EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS CONCLUSION

Notwithstanding the Board's conclusion, the Executive Director believed to the contrary, Petitioner had no misconduct in his short period of active service and his discharge was solely based on being diagnosed with enuresis. The Executive Director carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in Petitioner's case, to include reference (b). Based upon this review, the Executive Director concluded that no useful purpose is served by continuing to characterize Petitioner's service as anything but honorable. Accordingly, Petitioner's characterization of service should be upgraded to honorable.

#### EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS RECOMMENDATION

In view of the above, the Executive Director directs the following corrective action:

Petitioner be issued a new DD Form 214, Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty to show he was discharged with a honorable characterization of service, narrative reason for separation as secretarial authority, separation code as JFF, and separation authority as MILPERSMAN 1910-164.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER [REDACTED], USN,  
[REDACTED]

That Petitioner be issued an honorable discharge certificate

A copy of this report of proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

No further action will be taken to Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

5. The foregoing action of the Board is submitted for your review and action.

3/8//2022

[REDACTED]

From: Assistant General Counsel (Manpower and Reserve Affairs)

Reviewed and Approved Board Recommendation (Deny Relief)

**Reviewed and Approved Executive Directors Recommendation (Grant Relief)**

3/25/2022

[REDACTED]