

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 2951-22 Ref: Signature Date

	Ref. Signature Date
From: To:	Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records Secretary of the Navy
Subj:	REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD , USN (RETIRED, XXX-XX
Ref:	(a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552(b) The Joint Travel Regulations (JTR) 2021
Encl:	(1) DD Form 149 w/attachments(2) Subject's naval record
1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected to show Petitioner was reimbursed for a personally procured move (PPM).	
2. The Board, consisting of, and, and reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 5 May 2022 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.	
	e Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of nd injustice, finds as follows:
	Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.
b. (On 1 June 2021, Petitioner initiated a PPM form, to,
c. (On 30 September 2021, Petitioner was transferred to the Fleet Reserve.
	On 6 December 2021, Petitioner was issued official Fleet Reserve orders (BUPERS order: while stationed in with an effective date of departure of September 2021.
e. (On 30 December 2021, Petitioner submitted a DD Form 2278/Application for PPM.

f. On 3 March 2022, Petitioner received an email notification the his request for PPM reimbursement was denied.

CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of reference (b)¹, the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. The Board concluded that Petitioner is authorized a household goods (HHG) move in conjunction with separation.

RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner's official change duty orders (BUPERS order: 3401) were issued on "31 May 2021" vice "6 December 2021."

Note: Petitioner will submit a copy of the Board's approval letter to the Navy Household Goods Audit Team with all appropriate HHG documentation and orders to settle his claim. Settlement of move charged against Petitioner's BUPERS order: 3401.

That no further changes be made to Petitioner's naval record.

That a copy of this report of proceedings be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

- 4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
- 5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.



¹ In accordance with reference (b), household goods (HHG) allowances are based on the permanent change of station (PCS) order's effective date; although, the HHG may be transported as long as the HHG authority remains in effect. The weight allowance is based on the grade held on the effective date of the order authorizing the HHG transportation. HHG transportation before a PCS order is issued is authorized if the request for transportation is supported by all of the following: a. A statement from the authorizing/Order-Issuing Official (AO) or designated representative that the Service member was advised before such an order was issued that it would be issued. b. A written agreement, signed by the applicant, to pay any additional costs incurred for transportation to another point required because the new permanent duty station named in the order is different than that named in the AO's statement. c. A written agreement, signed by the applicant, to pay the entire transportation cost if a PCS order is not later issued to authorize the transportation.