

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No: 3197-22 Ref: Signature Date



Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your initial application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your reconsideration application on 20 May 2022. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

The Board determined that your personal appearance, with or without counsel, would not materially add to their understanding of the issues involved. Therefore, the Board determined that a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

You enlisted in the Navy and commenced a period of active duty on 12 April 1995. Your enlistment physical, on 6 April 1995, and self-reported medical history both noted no psychiatric or neurologic issues or symptoms.

On 26 June 1997, you received non-judicial punishment (NJP) for three separate specifications of unauthorized absence (UA), two separate specifications of the wrongful use of a controlled substance, and assault. You did not appeal your NJP.



On 1 July 1997, your command notified you of administrative separation proceedings by reason of misconduct due to drug abuse, and misconduct due to the commission of a serious offense. You expressly waived your rights to submit written rebuttal statements and to request a hearing before an administrative separation board. Your rights election form was witnessed by counsel. In the interim, on 17 July 1997, you received NJP for three separate specifications of UA. You did not appeal your NJP. Ultimately, on 29 July 1997, you were separated from the Navy for misconduct with an under Other Than Honorable (OTH) conditions discharge characterization and assigned an RE-4 reentry code.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to: (a) you sincerely regret your past actions and cause of your situation, (b) you have been working and participating in the community and at church, (c) due to the situation at the time you were not properly counseled on your options, and (d) you feel you have made major changes in your life and continue to work hard to be a model person for your family. For purposes of clemency consideration, the Board noted you provided supporting documentation describing post-service accomplishments and advocacy letters.

The Board did not believe that your record was otherwise so meritorious as to deserve a discharge upgrade. The Board concluded that significant negative aspects of your conduct and/or performance greatly outweighed any positive aspects of your military record. The Board noted that, although one's service is generally characterized at the time of discharge based on performance and conduct throughout the entire enlistment, the conduct or performance of duty reflected by only a single incident of misconduct may provide the underlying basis for discharge characterization. The Board noted that in your case you had not one, but two NJPs, each with multiple charged offenses. The Board determined that characterization under OTH conditions is generally warranted for misconduct and is appropriate when the basis for separation is the commission of an act or acts constituting a significant departure from the conduct was intentional and willful and indicated you were unfit for further service. Moreover, the Board noted that the evidence of record did not demonstrate that you were not mentally responsible for your conduct or that you should not otherwise be held accountable for your actions.

The Board also noted that there is no provision of federal law or in Navy/Marine Corps regulations that allows for a discharge to be automatically upgraded after a specified number of months or years. Additionally, absent a material error or injustice, the Board declined to summarily upgrade a discharge solely for the purpose of facilitating VA benefits, or enhancing educational or employment opportunities. Accordingly, the Board determined there was no impropriety or inequity in your discharge, and the Board concluded that your serious misconduct clearly merited your receipt of an OTH, and that such discharge was in accordance with all Department of the Navy directives and policy at the time of your discharge. The Board carefully considered any matters submitted regarding your character, post-service conduct, and personal/professional accomplishments, however, even in light of the Wilkie Memo and



reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence to support a finding of an error, injustice, or clemency that warrants upgrading your characterization of service.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.



Sincerely,