From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
To: Secretary of the Navy
Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO XXX XX USMC

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552
(b) MARADMIN 344/21 of 2 Jul 21

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments
(2) HQMC memo 5420 MMEA of 7 Jun 22
(3) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected to show Petitioner was eligible for and received a Selective Retention Bonus (SRB).
2. The Board, consisting of $\square$, and $\square$ reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 16 June 2022 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include reference (b).
3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds as follows:
a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.
b. On 18 September 2017, Petitioner entered active duty for 5 years with an End of Current Contract (ECC) of 17 September 2022.
c. On 1 October 2021, Petitioner was promoted to Sergeant/E-5 with a PMO of 2621.
d. On 28 December 2021, Petitioner's 1st Term Active Duty Reenlistment request was submitted.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO
XXX XX USMC
e. On 26 January 2022, Petitioner's 1st Term Active Duty Reenlistment request was approved.
f. On 1 February 2022, Petitioner reenlisted for 3 years with an ECC of 31 January 2025.

## CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2) ${ }^{1}$, the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. The Board concluded that Petitioner was approved for a 36 -month reenlistment, and subsequently reenlisted for 36 months on 1 February 2022. Petitioner's ECC at reenlistment was 17 September 2022. Due to administrative oversight, the contract should have reflected a 3 year and 8 month reenlistment in order for him to be eligible to receive the SRB.

## RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:
Petitioner was discharged on 31 January 2022 and reenlisted on 1 February 2022 for a term of 3 years and 8 months vice 3 years.

Note: This change will entitle the member to a zone "A" SRB for MOS 2621, which is capped at $\$ 10,000$ for 48 months of additional obligated service. Remaining obligated service to 17 September 2022 will be deducted from SRB computation.

A copy of this Report of Proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.
4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.


[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Enclosure (2), the office having cognizance over the subject matter addressed in Petitioner's application has commented to the effect that the request has merit and warrants favorable action.

