

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 3763-22 Ref: Signature Date

## Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 12 July 2022. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies, as well as the 9 May 2022 decision by the Marine Corps Performance Evaluation Review Board (PERB), and the 1 November 2021 Advisory Opinion (AO) provided to the PERB by the Manpower Management Division Records and Performance Branch (MMRP-30). The PERB decision and the AO were provided to you on 9 May 2022. Although you were afforded an opportunity to submit a rebuttal, you did not do so.

The Board carefully considered your request to remove your 10 July 2020 to 19 February 2021 Fitness Report (Fitrep). The Board considered your contentions that the Fitrep markings were underweighted and contradicted by previous Fitreps as a company commander, the Fitrep was compromised by your request for reassignment, the Reporting Senior (RS) failed to take into consideration community initiatives and receipt of a personal award, and you received insufficient counseling and a low relative value.

The Board, however, substantially concurred with the AO and the PERB decision that, in accordance with the Performance Evaluation System (PES) Manual, the Fitrep was procedurally correct as written and filed. In this regard, the Board determined that the lack of counseling does not render a Fitrep invalid nor is it required per the PES Manual. The Board also determined that the RS adhered to PES Manual guidance when providing appropriate attribute markings based on his assessment of your performance at the time. Moreover, you failed to provide any evidence

that your demonstrated performance was not accurately portrayed in the contested Fitrep or to support any of your contentions above. Finally, the Board noted that you failed to provide any significant matters of fact that were not known at the time of report processing. The Board thus concluded that there is insufficient evidence of error or injustice to warrant removal of the Fitrep from your official military personnel file. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

