



your request for clemency. Subsequently, the discharge authority ordered the execution of your BCD sentence by reason of GCM conviction. On 28 May 1982, you were discharged.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your contention that someone else broke into the Marine Corps Exchange and you were blamed for it. Further, you assert that you were given a choice to accept a plea bargain for 1 year or face 15 years confinement. For purposes of clemency consideration, the Board noted you did not provide supporting documentation describing post-service accomplishments, or advocacy letters.

Based upon this review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your GCM, outweighed these mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of your misconduct that included a long period of UA that ended in apprehension and a significant larceny. Therefore, the Board also considered the discrediting effect your misconduct had on the Marine Corps. The Board further noted that you did not provide any substantiating evidence to support your contention that you were not guilty of the larceny. As a result, the Board concluded your conduct constituted a significant departure from that expected of a Marine and continues to warrant a BCD. After applying liberal consideration, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants upgrading your characterization of service or granting clemency in the form of an upgraded characterization of service. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

8/5/2022

█

Executive Director

Signed by: █