



Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USNR  
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

e. On 14 August 2017, Petitioner was issued official change duty orders (BUPERS order: [REDACTED]) with required obligated service to April 2020, while stationed in [REDACTED] with an effective date of departure of February 2018. Petitioner's intermediate activity was [REDACTED], [REDACTED] for temporary duty under instruction with an effective date of arrival of 24 February 2018. Petitioner's ultimate activity was [REDACTED] for duty with an effective date of arrival of 6 April 2018.

f. On 16 October 2017, Petitioner reenlisted for 4 years in the U.S. Naval Reserve with an EREN of 15 October 2021.

g. On 24 April 2018, Petitioner signed an agreement to extend enlistment for 6 months in the U.S. Naval Reserve with a SEREN of 15 April 2022 in order to match EAOS/EREN with PRD of April 2022 .

h. On 4 January 2022, Petitioner was issued official change duty orders (BUPERS order: [REDACTED]) with required obligated service to April 2025, while stationed in [REDACTED] with an effective date of departure of March 2022. Petitioner's intermediate (1) activity was [REDACTED] [REDACTED] for temporary duty under instruction with an effective date of arrival of 26 March 2022. Petitioner's intermediate (2) activity was [REDACTED] for temporary duty with an effective date of arrival of 1 July 2022. Petitioner's intermediate (3) activity was [REDACTED] for temporary duty under instruction with an effective date of arrival of 18 July 2022. Petitioner's ultimate activity was [REDACTED] for duty with an effective date of arrival of 7 October 2022.

i. On 11 March 2022, Petitioner erroneously reenlisted for 4 years in the U.S. Navy with an EAOS of 10 March 2026.

j. In correspondence attached as enclosure (2), the office having cognizance over the subject matter addressed in Petitioner's application has commented to the effect that the request has merit and warrants favorable action.

## CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. The Board concluded that Petitioner is considered a U.S. Naval Reserve (Branch class 32 - Full Time Support); however, a review of Petitioner's Official Military Personnel File shows he erroneously reenlisted for 4 years in the U.S. Navy (Branch class 11) vice U.S. Naval Reserve (Branch class 32 - Full Time Support).

## RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USNR  
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

Petitioner's 4-year immediate reenlistment contract (NAVPERS 1070/601) executed on 11 March 2022 was in the U.S. Naval Reserve (Branch class USNR) vice U.S. Navy (Branch class USN) and a RADO months/days of "048/000" vice "000/000".

A copy of this Report of Proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

7/30/2022

[REDACTED]

Deputy Director

Signed by: [REDACTED]