

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No: 4974-22 Ref: Signature date



Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A threemember panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 26 September 2022. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

Regarding your request for a personal appearance, the Board determined that a personal appearance with or without counsel will not materially add to their understanding of the issue(s) involved. Therefore, the Board determined that a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 25 January 1988. On 16 March 1988, you tested "positive" for use of a controlled substance (marijuana) while assigned to After reporting to your first command, on 8 July 1989, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for assault. On 18 September 1989, you received a second NJP for willful dereliction of duty. On the same date, the previously suspended portion from your first NJP was vacated. On 22 September 1989, you were counseled for your previous NJP violations. You were advised that failure to take corrective action could result in administrative separation. From a period beginning on 19 December 1989 to 13 December 1990, you received NJP on three

occasions for the following offenses: disobeying a lawful order, wrongfully using provoking words, communicating a threat against a chief petty officer, willfully disobey a lawful order, and dereliction of duty. As a result of your misconduct, on 18 December 1990, you were notified of the initiation of administrative separation proceedings by reason of misconduct due to commission of a serious offense, and misconduct due to pattern of misconduct. On the same date, you decided to waive your procedural rights. On 3 January 1991, your commanding officer recommended an Other Than Honorable (OTH) discharge characterization of service by reason of misconduct. On 9 January 1991, the discharge authority approved and ordered an OTH discharge characterization by reason of misconduct due to pattern of misconduct. On 10 January 1991, you were discharged.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your desire for a discharge upgrade and contentions that you were systematically targeted by your chief petty officer, and that you endured excessive hours of work while simultaneously being undermanned and not properly rested. For purposes of clemency consideration, the Board noted you did not provide supporting documentation describing post-service accomplishments or advocacy letters.

Based upon this review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your NJPs, outweighed these mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of your misconduct and the likely negative impact it had on the good order and discipline of your unit. Further, the Board noted you provided no evidence to substantiate your contentions including that you were targeted by your chain of command. Additionally, while your statement discusses being overworked as the reason for your two NJPs for falling asleep on duty, the Board noted that your record of misconduct also included a drug related offense prior to reporting to your first command as well as violence against other service members. The Board found no nexus between your contentions and these incidents of misconduct. As a result, the Board concluded your conduct constituted a significant departure from that expected of a Sailor and continues to warrant an OTH characterization. After applying liberal consideration, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants upgrading your characterization of service or granting clemency in the form of an upgraded characterization of service. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when

applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

