



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

█
Docket No: 5357-22
Ref: Signature date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER █, █,
USN, XXX-XX-█

Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. 1552
(b) 10 U.S.C. 654 (Repeal)
(c) UNSECDEF Memo OF 20 Sep 11 (Correction of Military Record following Repeal of U.S.C. 654)

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 with attachments
(2) Case summary
(3) Subject's naval record (excerpts)

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, a former enlisted member of the Navy, filed enclosure (1) with this Board requesting, in effect, that his "General (Under Honorable Conditions)" characterization of service be upgraded. He also implied and requested that his Narrative Reason for Separation be changed from "Pre-Service Homosexual Conduct" to "Secretarial Authority" with associated changed to his reenlistment code, separation code (SPD), and separated authority. Enclosures (1) through (3) apply.

2. The Board, consisting of █, █, and █, reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 5 October 2022 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of Petitioner's application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice finds as follows:

a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.

b. Although enclosure (1) was not filed in a timely manner, it is in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and review the application on its merits.

c. Petitioner enlisted in the Navy and commenced active duty on 30 July 1974. On 14 August 1974, Petitioner made a written statement admitting to his involvement in homosexual acts prior to his enlistment into the Navy. On 15 August 1974, Petitioner received non-judicial punishment

Subj: REVIEW NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER [REDACTED],
USN, XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

(NJP) for unauthorized absence (UA) for four days. Subsequently, Petitioner was notified of pending administrative separation action by reason of Fraudulent Enlistment (Pre-Service Homosexual Conduct). After waiving his procedural rights, Petitioner's commanding officer (CO) forwarded his package to the separation authority (SA) recommending his discharge with a General (Under Honorable Conditions) characterization of service. The SA approved the recommendation and, on 3 September 1974, Petitioner was discharged with a General (Under Honorable Conditions) characterization of service by reason of Fraudulent Enlistment (Pre-Service Homosexual Conduct).

d. Petitioner contends that his characterization of service should be changed based on the military's DADT guidance.

e. Reference (c) sets forth the Department of the Navy's current policies, standards, and procedures for correction of military records following the "don't ask, don't tell" (DADT) repeal of 10 U.S.C. 654. It provides service Discharge Review Boards with the guidance to grant requests to change the characterization of service to "Honorable," narrative reason for separation to "Secretarial Authority," SPD code to "JFF," and reenry code to "RE-1J," when the original discharge was based solely on DADT or a similar policy in place prior to enactment of it and there are no aggravating factors in the record, such as misconduct.

CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of reference (b) and (c), the Board concludes that Petitioner's request warrants partial relief. In this regard, the Board noted that Petitioner was separated based solely on his admission of engaging in a pre-service homosexual conduct. Therefore, relief in the form of changes to his narrative reason for separation, separation code, separation authority, and reenlistment code are appropriate.

However, the Board determined that Petitioner's request to change his characterization of service to Honorable is not supported by the preponderance of the evidence. The Board made this finding based on Petitioner's overall length of service and the aggravating factor of misconduct committed during this brief period of active duty. Ultimately, the Board determined significant negative aspects of his active service outweighed the positive aspects and continue to merit a General (Under Honorable Conditions) characterization. Ultimately, the Board determined Petitioner did not qualify for the full relief under reference (c) and his record of service was not otherwise so meritorious to warrant an upgrade to Honorable.

In view of the foregoing, the Board directs the following partial corrective action.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Petitioner's record be corrected to show that, on 3 September 1974, his narrative reason for separation was "Secretarial Authority," reenlistment code was "RE-1J," SPD code was "JFF," and his separation authority was "MILPERSMAN 1910-164".

Petitioner be issued a new DD Form 214 reflecting the corrections.

Subj: REVIEW NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER [REDACTED],
USN, XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

That no further changes be made to the record.

That a copy of this report of proceedings be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulation, Section 723.6(e)) and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

10/13/2022

