



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]
Docket No. 5696-22
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USN, XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552
(b) NAVADMIN 108/20 of 15 Apr 20
(c) FY21 SRB Award Plan (N13SRB 004/FY21), 29 Jun 21
(d) FY21 SRB Award Plan (N13SRB 005/FY21), 9 Aug 21

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments
(2) Advisory Opinion by CMSB (BUPERS-328), 8 Aug 22
(3) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected to show Petitioner was eligible for and received a Selective Reenlistment Bonus (SRB).

2. The Board, consisting of [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 18 August 2022 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds as follows:

a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.

b. On 20 June 2013, Petitioner entered active duty.

c. On 8 April 2016, Petitioner reenlisted for 6 years with an end of active obligated service (EAOS), 7 April 2022.

d. In accordance with reference (b), this NAVADMIN announced revised SRB policy for Active Component and Full-Time Support, superseding NAVADMIN 272/19. Sailors must now have reenlisted within 365 days of their EAOS (as opposed to 270-days required in NAVADMIN 272/19), except in the case of Nuclear-trained Sailors who could have reenlisted at any point in the reenlistment zone, per guidance in OPNAVINST 1160.8B.

e. On 10 October 2020, Petitioner transferred from [REDACTED], and arrived to [REDACTED] on 14 November 2020 for duty.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USN, XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

f. In accordance with reference (c), FY21 SRB Award Plan (N13SRB 004/FY21) listed a zone "A" SRB with an award level of 0.5 (\$30,000 award ceiling) for the MA rate.

g. On 2 August 2021, Petitioner signed a command career request (NPPSC 1160/1) requesting a 6 year reenlistment with an effective date of 16 September 2021. The request was approved by cognizant authority on 9 August 2021.

h. In accordance with reference (d), FY21 SRB AWARD PLAN (N13SRB 005/FY21) listed a zone "A" SRB with an award level of 0.5 (\$30,000 award ceiling) for the MA rate.

i. On 16 September 2021, Petitioner reenlisted for 6 years with an EAOS of 15 September 2027.

j. Petitioner was released from active duty and transferred to the Naval Reserve with an Honorable character of service and was issued a DD Form 214 for the period of 20 June 2013 to 21 November 2013 upon completion of required active service.

k. On 4 June 2020, Petitioner was issued official change duty orders (BUPERS order: [REDACTED]) while stationed in [REDACTED] with an effective date of departure of September 2020. Petitioner's ultimate activity was [REDACTED] for duty with an effective date of arrival of 30 October 2020 and a Projected Rotation Date of November 2021.

CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2)¹, the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. The Board concluded that at the time of Petitioner's reenlistment, his rate was listed on FY21 SRB Award Plan (N13 SRB 005/FY21) as a Zone A, 0.5 award level SRB. If the Command Career Counselor had submitted the SRB precertification request to [REDACTED], 35 to 120 days in advance of Petitioner's requested reenlistment date, it would have been approved.

RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner's command submitted an Officer Personnel Information System (OPINS)/Navy Standard Integrated Personnel System (NSIPS) request 35 to 120 days in advance of the requested reenlistment date for the Sailor, and was it approved by cognizant authority.

Note: This change will entitle the member to a zone "A" SRB with an award level of 0.5 (\$30,000 award ceiling) for the MA rate. Remaining obligated service to 7 April 2022 will be deducted from SRB computation.

A copy of this Report of Proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

¹ Enclosure (2), the office having cognizance over the subject matter addressed in Petitioner's application has commented to the effect that the request has merit and warrants favorable action.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USN, XXX-XX [REDACTED]

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

8/29/2022

[REDACTED]

Deputy Director

Signed by: [REDACTED]