



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

█
Docket No: 6040-22
Ref: Signature Date

█
Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 28 October 2022. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

The Board determined that your personal appearance, with or without counsel, would not materially add to their understanding of the issues involved. Therefore, the Board determined that a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

You enlisted in the U.S. Marine Corps and began a period of active duty on 13 October 1972. On 7 January 1974, you received non-judicial punishment (NJP) for failure to be at an appointed place of duty and disobey a lawful order. On 30 January 1975, you were found guilty at General Court-Martial (GCM), to conspire to commit an assault with intent to commit grievous bodily harm and assault with your fists, feet, and with a rock. You were sentenced to be reduced in

paygrade, confined for three years, forfeiture of pay, and a Bad Conduct Discharge (BCD). After completion of your appellate review, you were discharged, on 2 December 1976, with a BCD.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your desire for a discharge upgrade and contentions that you made mistakes, deserve another chance, and never drank alcohol before leaving the Islands of Samoa. For purposes of clemency consideration, the Board noted you did provide supporting documentation describing post-service accomplishments and advocacy letters.

Based upon this review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your NJP and GCM, outweighed these mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of your misconduct and the fact it involved grievous bodily harm to another Marine. This led the Board to conclude that your conduct showed a complete disregard for military authority and regulations in addition to having a negative effect on the good order and discipline of your unit. As a result, the Board concluded your conduct constituted a significant departure from that expected of a Marine and continues to warrant a BCD characterization. The Board considered the additional evidence you submitted regarding post-discharge character but concluded that the favorable matters you submitted for consideration were also insufficient to outweigh the severity and nature of your misconduct. While the Board commends your post-discharge good character and accomplishments, even in light of the Wilkie Memo and reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants upgrading your characterization of service or granting clemency in the form of an upgraded characterization of service. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

11/5/2022

█