



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]
Docket No. 6735-22
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USN,
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552
(b) NAVADMIN 108/20 of 15 Apr 20
(c) FY21 SRB Award Plan (N13SRB 005/FY21) of 9 Aug 21
(d) FY22 SRB Award Plan (N13SRB 001/FY22) of 14 Feb 22

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments
(2) CMSB memo 1160 Ser B328/099 of 19 Sep 22
(3) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected to show Petitioner was eligible for and received a Selective Reenlistment Bonus (SRB).

2. The Board, consisting of [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 6 October 2022 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds as follows:

a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.

b. On 20 February 2018, Petitioner entered active duty for 4 years with an End of Active Obligated Service (EAOS) of 19 February 2022 and Soft End of Active Obligated Service (SEAOS) of 19 February 2023.

c. In accordance with reference (b), this NAVADMIN announced revised SRB policy for Active Component (AC) and Full-Time Support (FTS), superseding NAVADMIN 272/19. Sailors must now have reenlisted within 365-days of their EAOS (as opposed to 270-days

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USN,
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

required in NAVADMIN 272/19), except in the case of Nuclear-trained Sailors who could have reenlisted at any point in the reenlistment zone, per guidance in OPNAVINST 1160.8B.

d. In accordance with reference (c), FY21 SRB Award Plan (N13SRB 005/FY21) a zone “A” SRB with an award level of 2.5 (\$75,000 award ceiling) for the ITS rate was listed.

e. On 20 October 2021, Petitioner signed a command career request (NPPSC 1160/1) requesting a 6 year reenlistment with an effective date of 17 February 2022. The request was approved by cognizant authority on 25 October 2021.

f. On 1 November 2021, Deputy Chief of Naval Personnel notified Petitioner that he was authorized immediate in-rate reenlistment in the AC with an end date of February 2023.

g. In accordance with reference (d), FY22 SRB Award Plan (N13SRB 001/FY22) a zone “A” SRB with an award level of 3.5 (\$60,000 award ceiling) for the ITS rate was listed.

h. On 17 February 2022, Petitioner reenlisted for 6 years with an EAOS of 16 February 2028.

i. On 2 May 2022, a Transaction Online Processing System (TOPS) was created with the following remarks: “Effective 17 February 2022; Transaction initiated by [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Due to paperwork not being processed, member has an extension that became operative. Also, SRB approval in NSIPS is zeroed out but was submitted and approved”.

j. In correspondence attached as enclosure (2), the office having cognizance over the subject matter addressed in Petitioner’s application has commented to the effect that the request has merit and warrants favorable action.

CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. The Board concluded that Petitioner’s SRB precertification was entered into Navy Standard Integrated Personnel System (NSIPS) incorrectly resulting in the SRB precertification to be rejected. If the SRB request had been properly submitted, he would have been approved for Zone A 3.5 award level SRB.

RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner’s naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner’s Command submitted a waiver request for his SRB to BUPERS-328 via Officer Personnel Information System (OPINS)/NSIPS less than 35 days in advance of the requested reenlistment date of the Sailor and was approved by cognizant authority.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USN,
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

Note: This change will entitle the member to a zone "A" SRB with an award level of 3.5 (\$60,000 award ceiling) for the ITS rate.

A copy of this Report of Proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

10/19/2022

