



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

██████████
Docket No: 7219-22
3022-22
Ref: Signature Date

████████████████████
████████████████
████████████████████

Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Because your application was submitted with new evidence not previously considered, the Board found it in the interest of justice to review your application. Your current request has been carefully examined by a three-member panel, sitting in executive session on 19 October 2022. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 12 June 1996. Your Evaluation report & counseling record for the period from 16 August 1996 to 10 February 1997 notes you pending separation and assignment of an Other Than Honorable (OTH) character of service in lieu of trial by court martial. On 20 February 1997, you were discharged with an OTH character of service, in lieu of trial by court martial, issued a SPD code of KFS, and a RE-4 reentry code.

You previous applied to this Board and were denied relief on 23 May 2022. At that time, the Board determined your discharge was correct as issued based on the presumption of regularity.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your desire to upgrade your characterization of service to Honorable and change your separation reason/reentry code. You contend the Department of

Veterans Affairs (VA) has granted you honorable status per 38 C.F.R §3.12(d)(1). For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board noted you did not provide supporting documentation describing post-service accomplishments or advocacy letters.

After thorough review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your discharge in lieu of court-martial, outweighed these mitigating factors. Additionally, the Board reviewed the new evidence you provided in support of your request but determined that decisions reached by the VA to determine if former service members rate certain VA benefits do not affect previous discharge decisions made by the Navy. The criteria used by the VA in determining whether a former service member is eligible for benefits are different than that used by the Navy when determining a member's discharge characterization. As a result, the Board affirmed its earlier decision and concluded your conduct constituted a significant departure from that expected of a Sailor and continues to warrant an OTH characterization. Even in light of the Wilkie Memo and reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants upgrading your characterization of service or granting an upgraded characterization of service as a matter of clemency or equity. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

11/18/2022

██
██████████
Executive Director
██