



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

██████████
Docket No. 7327-22
Ref: Signature Date

██████████
██████████
██████████

Dear ██████████:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 17 November 2022. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies. In addition, the Board also considered the advisory opinion by Branch Head, Community Management Support Branch memorandum 1160 Ser B328/107 of 6 October 2022, which was previously provided to you. You were afforded an opportunity to submit a rebuttal, but did not do so.

On 20 May 2013, you entered active duty. In September 2016, you earned Navy Enlisted Classification (NEC) L12A/L03A/804G. On 21 June 2019, you reenlisted for 3 years with an End of Active Obligated Service (EAOS) of 20 June 2022. On 1 July 2019, you signed an agreement to extend enlistment for 1 month with a Soft End of Active Obligated Service (SEAOS) of 20 July 2022 in order to incur sufficient obligated service for orders. In June 2021, you earned NEC 825A.

In accordance with FY22 SRB Award Plan (N13SRB 001/FY22) published on 14 February 2022, no zone "B" SRB for the HM/L12A rate/NEC was listed.

On 15 March 2022, you were issued official change duty orders (BUPERS order: ██████████) with required obligated service to August 2025, while stationed in ██████████, ██████████ with an effective date of departure of July 2022. Your ultimate activity was ██████████ for duty with an effective date of arrival of 14 August 2022, with a

Projected Rotation Date (PRD) of August 2025. Furthermore, OBLISERV must be obtained within 30 days of receipt of these orders and prior to transfer.

On 15 April 2022, you reenlisted for 3 years with an EAOS of 14 April 2025.

In accordance with FY22 SRB Award Plan (N13SRB 002/FY22) published on 13 May 2022 a zone "B" SRB with an award level of 1.0 (\$30,000 award ceiling) for the HM/L12A rate/NEC was listed.

On 2 July 2022, you transferred from [REDACTED], and arrived to [REDACTED] on 12 August 2022 for duty.

You requested to change the date of your reenlistment to receive a Zone B SRB; the Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, to include your assertions. However, the Board concluded that BUPERS order: 0742 required you to obligate service out to August 2025. Furthermore, you were required to obligate within 30 days and prior to transfer, which you did. At the time of your reenlistment, there was no zone "B" SRB for the HM/L12A rate/NEC, therefore, allowing you to change your reenlistment date for the sole purpose to obtain eligibility would disadvantage every sailor, whose award level changed and who reenlisted prior to the SRB NAVADMIN being released. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

11/23/2022

[REDACTED]

Deputy Director

Signed by: [REDACTED]