



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]
Docket No. 7616-22
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USN,
XXX-XX [REDACTED]

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552
(b) NAVADMIN 108/20, 15 Apr 20
(c) FY21 SRB Award Plan (N13 SRB 005/FY21), 9 Aug 21
(d) FY22 SRB Award Plan (N13 SRB 005/FY22), 23 Sep 22

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments
(2) CMSB memo 1160 Ser B328/116, 25 Oct 22
(3) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected to establish Petitioner reenlisted on 16 October 2022 vice 29 October 2021 and was entitled to and received a Selective Reenlistment Bonus (SRB).

2. The Board, consisting of [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 3 November 2022 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds as follows:

a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.

b. On 2 October 2012, Petitioner entered active duty.

c. On 17 October 2018, Petitioner reenlisted for 4 years with an Expiration of Obligated Service (EOS) of 16 October 2022 and received a zone "B" SRB.

d. In accordance with reference (b), this NAVADMIN announced revised SRB policy for Active Component (AC) and Full-Time Support (FTS), superseding NAVADMIN 272/19.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USN,
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

Sailors must now have reenlisted within 365-days of their EAOS (as opposed to 270-days required in NAVADMIN 272/19), except in the case of Nuclear-trained Sailors who could have reenlisted at any point in the reenlistment zone, per guidance in OPNAVINST 1160.8B.

e. In accordance with reference (c), FY21 SRB Award Plan (N13 SRB 005/FY22) a zone “B” SRB with an award level of 2.0 (\$75,000 award ceiling) and a zone “C” SRB with an award level of 2.5 (\$75,000 award ceiling) for the FCA rate was listed.

f. On 29 October 2021, Petitioner reenlisted for 6 years with an EAOS of 28 October 2027.

g. On 12 April 2022, Petitioner was issued official change duty orders (BUPERS [REDACTED] er: [REDACTED]) while stationed in [REDACTED] with an effective date of departure of July 2022. Petitioner’s intermediate (1) activity was [REDACTED] for temporary duty under instruction with an effective date of arrival of 31 July 2022. Petitioner’s intermediate (2) activity was [REDACTED] for temporary duty with an effective date of arrival of 8 September 2022. Petitioner’s ultimate activity was [REDACTED] for duty with an effective date of arrival of 4 October 2022.

h. On 5 July 2022, Petitioner transferred from [REDACTED], and arrived to S [REDACTED] on 31 July 2022 for temporary duty.

i. In accordance with reference (d), FY22 SRB Award Plan (N13 SRB 005/FY21) listed a zone “C” SRB with an award level of 2.5 (\$75,000 award ceiling) for the FCA rate.

j. On 2 October 2022, Petitioner entered zone “C.”

k. In correspondence attached as enclosure (2), the office having cognizance over the subject matter addressed in Petitioner’s application has commented to the effect that the request has merit and warrants favorable action.

CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. The Board concluded that at the time of reenlistment Petitioner was not eligible for a zone “B” SRB due to already receiving a Zone “B” SRB. Petitioner should have been advised to reenlist after crossing into zone “C.” Per reference (d), Petitioner would have been eligible for a zone “C” SRB if he had reenlisted on 16 October 2022 vice 29 October 2021.

RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner’s naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner’ was discharged and reenlisted on 15/16 October 2022 vice 28/29 October 2021.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USN,
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

Note: This change will entitle the member to a zone "C" SRB with an award level of 2.5 (\$75,000 award ceiling) for the FCA rate.

A copy of this Report of Proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

11/14/2022

[REDACTED]

Deputy Director

Signed by: [REDACTED]