

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USN RET,
[REDACTED]

c. On [REDACTED], Petitioner enlisted in the U.S. Navy (USN) for a term of 6-years of which 4-years was an active duty obligation. Petitioner's enlistment documents reflect HOR as [REDACTED].

d. Petitioner entered active duty on [REDACTED]

e. On 13 April 1982, Petitioner reenlisted for a term of 5-years in the USN with HOR listed as [REDACTED] on reenlistment contract. Petitioner's official military personnel file (OMPF) contains a copy of DD Form 214 for the period of [REDACTED] through [REDACTED].

f. On 9 October 1986, Petitioner reenlisted for a term of 6-years in the USN with HOR listed as [REDACTED] on reenlistment contract. Petitioner's OMPF does not contain a DD Form 214 for the active duty period of [REDACTED] through [REDACTED].

g. On 1 May 1992, Petitioner reenlisted for a term of 3-years in the USN with HOR listed as [REDACTED] on reenlistment contract. Subsequently, Petitioner executed three extensions totaling 37-months; end of active obligated service [REDACTED]. Petitioner's OMPF does not contain a DD Form 214 for the active duty period of [REDACTED] through [REDACTED].

h. Petitioner transferred to the Fleet Reserve effective [REDACTED]. Petitioner issued DD Form 214 for the period of 9 October 1986 through [REDACTED] and HOR listed as [REDACTED].

i. In correspondence attached as enclosure (2), the office having cognizance over the subject matter addressed in Petitioner's application has commented to the effect that the request has merit and warrants favorable action.

CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. The Board concluded Petitioner did not have a break in service and all enlistment/reenlistment documents indicated [REDACTED] as his HOR; consequently, the [REDACTED] entry on his DD Form 214 dated 31 May 1998 was an administrative error. Additionally, the Board determined Petitioner was issued DD Form 214 for the period of 30 May 1978 through 12 April 1982 and he can obtain a replacement copy from Headquarter Marine Corps (MMRP) by emailing a request to [REDACTED]. However, there is no evidence of DD Form 214 issuance in Petitioner's OMPF for the period of 13 April 1982 through 8 October 1986; therefore, the Board felt, under these circumstances, relief if warranted.

RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD IC [REDACTED], USN RET,
[REDACTED]

Petitioner issued DD Form 214, Certificate of Release of Discharge from Active Duty for the period of 13 April 1982 through 8 October 1986.

Petitioner issued DD Form 215, Correction to DD Form 214, Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty dated 31 May 1998 modifying Block 7b (Home of Record at Time of Entry) [REDACTED]” vice [REDACTED]

A copy of this Report of Proceedings will be filed in Petitioner’s naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board’s review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board’s proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

11/18/2022

[REDACTED]

Deputy Director
[REDACTED]