

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No: 7887-22 Ref: Signature Date




Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your case on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 21 November 2022. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

You enlisted in the United States Navy and commenced a period of active duty on 31 July 1998. On 15 September 1999, you received non-judicial punishment (NJP) for violating Uniform Code of Military Justice Article 112(a), for wrongful use of marijuana, and Article 86, for a fifteen-day period of unauthorized absence (UA). You were awarded reduction in rank to E-1, restriction/extra duties for 45 days, and forfeitures of pay. You did not appeal your NJP. On 29 November 1999, you were notified that you were being processed for an administrative discharge by reason of misconduct due to drug abuse. You waived your right to consult with qualified counsel and your right to present your case at an administrative separation board. Ultimately, on 15 December 1999, you were discharged from the Navy for "Misconduct – Drug Abuse" with an OTH characterization of service and assigned an RE- 4 reentry code.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to; (1) your desire to upgrade your discharge characterization and



change your narrative reason for separation, (2) your contention that you made a one-time mistake after being pressured by your friends to use illegal drugs, and (3) your post-service character. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board noted that you provided advocacy letters and documentation of post-service accomplishments.

After thorough review, the Board concluded your potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your NJP, outweighed these mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of your misconduct and the fact that it involved a drug offense and a significant period of UA. The Board determined that illegal drug use is contrary to the Navy core values and policy, renders such Sailor unfit for duty, and poses an unnecessary risk to the safety of fellow shipmates. Further, the Board also considered the likely negative impact your conduct had on the good order and discipline of your command. Lastly, absent a material error or injustice, the Board declined to summarily upgrade a discharge solely for the purpose of facilitating veterans' benefits, or enhancing educational or employment opportunities. As a result, the Board determined that there was no impropriety or inequity in your discharge and your misconduct clearly merited your receipt of an OTH. While the Board commends your postdischarge accomplishments and position as an upstanding member of the community, even in light of the Wilkie Memo and reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants upgrading your characterization of service, changing your narrative reason for separation, or granting relief as a matter of clemency or equity. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

